

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademerk Office Address: CoMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,577	10/12/2000	Edward C. Edelman	153501-0091/P01986US	2635

10/12/2000

PAPER NUMBER

7590 12390 EL CÁMINO REAL

SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

10/21/2002 BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON LLP

EXAMINER GONZALEZ, JULIO C

ART UNIT

2834 DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	14			
	09/689,577	7	EDELMAN, EDWAR	1D C. N			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
•	Julio C. Go	nzalez	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addi	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication If NO period for rept) is specified above, the maximum status of 18 - If NO period for rept) is specified above, the maximum status of 19 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rept) will, by sta - Any rept proceived by the Office later than three months after the ma carmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. t 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statut iod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cation to become ABANDONEE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 June 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is r	non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 25-41 is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-9,12,15,25-33,36 and 39-41 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 10,11,13,14,34,35,37 and 38 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been	received.					
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTC-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper Note		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/689,577

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 1/7/02 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 12, 15, 25-33, 36 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lampe et al in view of Peticolas.

Lampe et al discloses a command control for a plurality of turbogenerators 18 having a control system bus (see figure 4), a load 10, a utility grid 12, disconnect switches 40 and a junction box (see figure 6). Also, Lampe discloses several control modes for operating the turbogenerators (see claims 7, 10, 11).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,577

Art-Unit: 2834

However, Lampe does not disclose using a relay.

On the other hand, Peticolas discloses for the purpose of avoiding over speeding the turbine and transferring/storing efficiently extra energy, a switching device, a bus with a relay 85 (see figure 5), a power meter 288 (see figure 7) and disconnect switches 252.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a controller for a turbogenerator as disclosed by Lampe et al and to modify the invention by using a relay for the purpose of avoiding over speeding the turbine and transferring/storing efficiently extra energy as disclosed by Peticolas.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 06/26/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Lampe et al and Peticolas disclose a master controller, which may be used for the starting and stopping sequences of the turbogenerator. For example Lampe et al discloses a start/stop sequence for the generator set 16 (see figure 6), which may affect the plurality of turbogenerators 18. Also, a standby load may be provided during peak shaving or normal operations (column 2, lines 49-52) and that even the

Art-Unit: 2834

bi-directional switch may be controlled by a controller 140 (column 3, lines 53,54). Moreover, other sequential modes are disclose, which affect the system (column 10, lines 53-60). Also, Peticolas discloses using sequences for controlling the operation of the system. Peticolas discloses increasing the efficiency of the system by cutting off fuel when the power is not needed (column 4, lines 66, 67-column 6, lines 1-4, column 7, lines 30-38), thus Peticolas and Lampe et al disclose using controller, which may control the sequences (start/stop) of the turbogenerators.

5. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the master controller balances the run times and load demands of the individual turbogenerators, which serves to increase the reliability and extend the useful lifetimes of the individual turbogenerators) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,577

Art-Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10, 11, 13, 14, 34, 35, 37 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art·Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jcg

October 7, 2002